

Dwuetapowa endoprotezoplastyka rewizyjna w leczeniu zakażeń okołoprotezowych. Czy spacer może stanowić źródło reinfekcji?

Two-Stage Revision Arthroplasty in the Management of Periprosthetic Joint Infections. Can Spacer Be a Source of Reinfection?

Przemysław Bereza | Damian Kusz

Katedra i Klinika Ortopedii i Traumatologii Narządu Ruchu

Śląski Uniwersytet Medyczny w Katowicach



SUM M





## **Occurrence of PJIs:**

- 0.4 2.5% after THA
- 1-2% after TKA
- 3.2–7 % after revision arthroplasties

## **TWO-STAGE REVISION ARTHROPLASTY:**

- the preferred method of treating PJI
- the best strategy for infected-joint arthroplasty treatment



## Indications to two-stage revision arthroplasty:

- patients with systemic manifestations of infection (sepsis)
- obvious infection but no organism has been identified
- preoperative cultures results difficult to treat, antibiotic-resistance
- presence of a sinus tract
- inadequate and non-viable soft tissue coverage





Spacer

## **Pre-formed spacers:**

- implantable devices indicated to temporarily replace a prosthesis in a septic revision procedure
- allow local antibiotic administration
- maintenance of joint space and mobilisation
- maintenance of patient mobility between stages
- facilitate of definitive re-implant surgery
- standardized mechanical performance
- reduction of functional recovery time after the two stage procedure







# Microbiologic effectiveness of spacer

- allow local antibiotic administration with minimal risk of systemic toxicity
- minimalize a risk of bacterial resistance to antibiotics, with the higher concentration of antibiotic in site of infection than the Minimal Inhibitory Concentration (MIC)
- continuous presence of antibiotic in a temporary implant, which stops / reduces the growth and colonization of spacer





Spacer





Recent studies reported 14.5 to 29 % positive sonicate cultures of the removed spacers.

(Sorli L. et al. JBJS 2012; Marin M et al. JClinMicrobiol.2012; Mariconda.et al. BMC MscDis.2013)

 The incidence of reinfection after two-stage exchange arthroplasty has been estimated at 10–31 %.

(Kurd MF et al. Clin Orthop Res.2010; Kubista et al. Int.Orthop. 2012)



We investigated bacterial species in supposedly healed PJI patients during second-stage exchange arthroplasties.

This study was designed to detect and/or isolate bacteria presented on the surfaces of the prefabricated antibiotic-loaded spacers during the second stage revision surgery.



International Orthopaedics (SICOT) DOI 10.1007/s00264-013-1955-9

ORIGINAL PAPER

report of a prospective controlled study

Przemysław L. Bereza · Alicja Ekiel · Aleksandra Auguściak-Duma · Małgorzata Aptekorz · Iwona Wilk · Damian J. Kusz · Piotr Wojciechowski · Gayane Martirosian

Identification of Asymptomatic Prosthetic Joint Infection: Microbiologic and Operative Treatment Outcomes

Our clinical interest to perform this study was to find the answer to following questions:

- if the supposedly healed PJI should be considered as aseptic without the fear for reimplantation
- if failures could be predictable in some cases?





## **Characteristic of studied patients**

• 13 patients (7 women and 6 men)

attending the Department of Orthopaedic and Traumatology, Medical University of Silesia, School of Medicine in Katowice, Poland

awaiting second-stage revision arthroplasty of hip or knee, primary qualified as PJI, or highly suspected as PJI, based on the established criteria

- Age: 50–84 years (mean age 69.2)
- Operated joints: 4 hips and 9 knees
- The average period between the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> stage of revision arthroplasty: 153.1 days (approximately 5 months)
- Minimum follow-up: 2 years (mean, 32 months; range, 25–36 months)



## Material and methods:

- Laboratory markers (serum indicator of infection: WBC, ESR, CRP)
- Preoperative culture of synovial fluid from joint aspiration
- Intraoperative tissue cultures
- Sonification of removed spacer
- Molecular techniques: 16S rRNA sequencing
- Histopathological analysis



#### Table 1 Clinical details of patients Culture results - 2<sup>nd</sup> stage Patient Affected CRP before Culture result -Molecular identification Bacteria Time between Treatment Followup (mean, 2<sup>nd</sup> stage 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> joint 1<sup>#</sup> stage identified by 16S rRNA gene 32 months; range, stage (days) 25-36 months) sequencing Intraop, specimen Preop, samples Intraop, specimen Sonicate н <5 263 **C-reactive protein:** н <5 3 К <5 was significantly elevated in 1 of 13 cases (patient nr 11) $\bullet$ ĸ <5 - the failure after 2-years observation 5 н 64 ĸ <5 in remaining 2 cases CRP level was minimally elevated • 7 К 6 к 8 <5 - without failures in follow up 9 К <5 In the group with no elevated CRP level (10 patients) 10 ĸ <5 ullet- 4 culture-positive cases (patients 4,8, 9, 13) К 27,1 11 longed herapy ioint failure 12 н <5 13 к <5 prolonged biotic therapy (prolonged wound

healing)

Study

H hip, K knee

SAMODZIELNY PUBLICZNY SZPITAL KLINICZNY NR 7 ŚLĄSKIEGO UNIWERSYTETU

im. prof. Leszka Gieca



Table	<ol> <li>Clinical</li> </ol>	details of p	atients							
Patient	Affected joint	CRP before 2 <sup>nd</sup> stage	Time between 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> stage (days)	Treatment	Culture result - 1 <sup>#</sup> stage	ulture result - Culture results - 2 <sup>nd</sup> stage * stage			Molecular identification Bacteria identified by 16S rRNA gene	Followup (mean, 32 months; range,
					Intraop. specime	i Preop. sample (joint fluid)	s Intraop. specimen	Sonicate	sequencing	25-36 months)
1	н	<5	263	Restoration Stryker	negative	negative	negative	negative	Geobacillus stearothermofilus, G. vulcani	healed
Tł	ne <b>ne</b>	gative	e joint f	luid cultu	ire	negative	negative	negative	Lactobacillus jensenii, L. acidophilus, L. fornicalis	death
re	sults	befor	e 2nd s	tage revis	sion	negative	negative	negative	Pseudomonas aeruginosa, P. resinovorans	healed
in	all c	ases.				negative	negative	Ralstonia pickettii	Novosphingobium nitrogenifigens, N. hassiacum, Bradyrhizobium japonicum, B. Ilaoningense,	healed
5	н	6,4	145	Restoration Stryker	negative	negative	negative	negative	Klebsiella pneumaniae	healed
6	к	<5	170	Scorpio TS Stryker	negative	negative	negative	negative	Klebsiella pneumaniae	healed
7	к	6	184	Scorpio TS Stryker	Micrococcus sp.	negative	negative	negative	S. lugdunensis, S. hominis	healed
8	к	<5	88	Scorpio TS Stryker	Streptococcus viridans	negative	S. epidermidis	negative	Corynebacterium ureicelerivorans, C. mucifaciens	healed
9	к	<5	150	Scorpio TS Stryker	E.coli	negative	negative	Ralstonia pickettii	Rubrobacter xylanophilus, Clostridium saccharoperbutylacetonicum	healed
10	к	<5	150	Scorpio TS Stryker	Enterococcus faecalis	negative	negative	negative	Tuberibacillus calidus, Bacillus algicola	healed
11	к	27,1	140	Scorpio TS Stryker	Acinetobacter baumani Enterobacter cloacae	negative	negative	negative	negative	failure: prolonged antibiotic therapy (recurrent joint effusion)
12	н	<5	135	Restoration Stryker	Enterococcus faecium	negative	negative	negative	Brevibacterium ravenspurgense, B. paucivorans	healed
13	к	<5	180	Arthrodesis ChM plate	Staphylococcus aureus	negative	S. epidermidis	S. epidermidis	Acinetobacter johnsonii, A. parvus	failure: prolonged antibiotic therapy (prolonged wound healing)

H hip, K knee

 $\bigcap$ 







H hip, K knee

 $\bigcap$ 





Table 1

Patie

The presence of bacterial DNA was confirmed with molecular testing in **92 %** of patients with negative synovial fluid cultures.

Sequencing of 16S rRNA revealed 2 or more different opportunistic bacteria:

- S. epidermidis
- Klebsiella pneumoniae .
- Acinetobacter spp. •
- Pseudomonas spp.
- Lactobacillus spp.

im. prof. Leszka Gieca

most of them belongs to human or environmental microflora with low virulence.



H hip, K knee

13







## Follow-up

Table 1 Patier

> In 10 patients no failures were noted: lack of any clinical features of infection, radiological findings of implants loosening, increasing laboratory markers, and prolonged antibiotic therapy. The clinical examination revealed good outcomes.

Failure at final follow-up was recorded in 2 (16.6 %) patients



H hip, K knee

13







Table Pati

## Follow-up: failure: PATIENT NR 11

(persistent elevated concentation of CRP before 2nd stage)

- periodic effusion without persistent pain
- the presence of MSSE in 1/3 arthrocentesis in the early postoperative period
- minimal radiolucency under the tibial component - not assessed as implant loosening

Targeted antimicrobial therapy was administered. For these reasons this case was assessed as a failure.



## Follow-up: failure: PATIENT NR 13

Table.

Pati

Infection with S. epidermidis

 medical history of the patient (knee joint infection many years ago, clinical signs of infection after primary knee joint arthroplasty)

- the growth of *S. aureus* from intraoperative tissue samples taken during the 1<sup>st</sup> stage revision surgery.



## Follow-up: failure: PATIENT NR 13

Table

Pati

Finally underwent **arthrodesis** of the knee joint (general medical condition and the high risk of reinfection)

Prolonged wound healing, the positive culture results from intraoperative specimens and sonicate fluid (*S.epidermidis*) were the reason for long-term antibiotic therapy in this case.



## Follow-up: failure: PATIENT NR 13

Table.

Pati

Followed by recommendation of some authors : removal of prosthesis or arthrodesis can be performed in cases of serious comorbidity or unacceptable by the patient repeated surgery or which seem deemed unsafe.



Matthews PC, Berendt AR, McNally MA, Byren I. Diagnosis and management of prosthetic joint infection. BMJ. 2009;338:b1773.

## 1.

The lack of clinical signs of infection, negative culture results of pre- and intraoperative samples do not exclude existence of bacteria on the surfaces of preformed antibiotic-loaded spacers used in two-stage exchange arthroplasties.





The positive results of sonication and molecular tests should be interpreted as real pathogenicity factors in the light of the clinical, microbiological and histopathological data, especially for patients with immunodeficiency.



### 3.

More attention should be paid to reimplantation of spacers in patients without clinical symptoms of infection with prolonged elevated level of CRP and in cases of prior infectious process of operated joint.







# How long should the spacer be kept in the periprosthetic infection site?

ADZENTY PULICAR

![](_page_23_Picture_4.jpeg)

# How long should the spacer be keep in the periprosthetic infection site?

Prolonged period between two stages of revision arthroplasty could be the reason for colonization of spacer surfaces with new microorganisms, especially dangerous for patients with immunodeficiency.

![](_page_24_Picture_4.jpeg)

## IDSA GUIDELINES

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Diagnosis and Management of Prosthetic Joint Infection: Clinical Practice Guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America<sup>a</sup>

## Douglas R. Osmon,<sup>1</sup> Elie F. Berbari,<sup>1</sup> Anthony R. Berendt,<sup>2</sup> Daniel Lew,<sup>3</sup> Werner Zimmerli,<sup>4</sup> James M. Steckelberg,<sup>1</sup> Nalini Rao,<sup>5,6</sup> Arlen Hanssen,<sup>7</sup> and Walter R. Wilson<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases, Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, Rochester, Minnesota; <sup>2</sup>Bone Infection Unit, Nuffield Orthopaedic Centre, Oxford University Hospitals NHS Trust, United Kingdom; <sup>3</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Geneva Hospitals, <sup>4</sup>Basel University Medical Clinic, Liestal, Switzerland; <sup>5</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, and <sup>6</sup>Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pennsylvania, and <sup>7</sup>Department of Orthopedics, Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, Rochester, Minnesota

ly qualify for this procedure. In earlier cohort studies, early reimplantation within 3 weeks after resection resulted in a higher failure rate [110]. Cohort studies from Europe revealed a favorable outcome with reimplantation within 2–6 weeks while systemic antimicrobials are still being administered in selected situations when the infection is not due to MRSA, enterococci, multidrug-resistant gram-negative organisms [2]. Delayed reimplantation after 4–6 weeks of intravenous antimicrobial therapy and an antibiotic-free period of 2–8 weeks has been highly successful. This strategy is used frequently in the United States [13, 104, 106, 120]. The use of an articulating Rand JA, Bryan RS. Reimplantation for the salvage of an infected total knee arthroplasty. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1983; 65:1081-6.

Zimmerli W, Trampuz A, Ochsner PE. Prosthetic-joint infections. N Engl J Med 2004; 351:1645–54.

Segawa H, Tsukayama DT, Kyle RF, Becker DA, Gustilo RB. Infection after total knee arthroplasty. A retrospective study of the treatment of eighty-one infections. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1999; 81:1434–45.

Brandt CM, Duffy MC, Berbari EF, Hanssen AD, Steckelberg JM Osmon DR. *Staphylococcus aureus* prosthetic joint infection treated with prosthesis removal and delayed reimplantation arthroplasty. Mayo Clin Proc **1999**; 74:553–8.

Hanssen AD, Rand JA, Osmon DR. Treatment of the infected total knee arthroplasty with insertion of another prosthesis. The effect of antibiotic-impregnated bone cement. Clin Orthop Relat Res **1994**; 44–55.

Westrich GH, Walcott-Sapp S, Bornstein LJ, Bostrom MP, Windsor REBrause BD. Modern treatment of infected total knee arthroplasty with a 2-stage reimplantation protocol. J Arthroplasty 2010; 25:1015–21, 1021.e1–2.

![](_page_25_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

Taking into consideration our results and observations of other authors, **the shortening of time interval between stages to 6–14 weeks is beneficial.** 

Bertazzoni Minelli E, Benini A, Magnan B, Bartolozzi P. Release of gentamicin and vancomycin from temporary human hip spacers in two-stage revision of infected arthroplasty. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2004;53:329–34. Fink B, Vogt S, Reinsch M, Büchner H. Sufficient release of antibiotic by a spacer 6 weeks after implantation in two-stage revision of infected hip prostheses. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2011;469:3141–7.

SAMODZIELNY PUBLICZNY SZPITAL KLINICZNY NR 7 ŚLĄSKIEGO UNIWERSYTETU MEDYCZNEGO W KATOWICACH Górnośląskie Centrum Medyczne im, prof. Leszka Gieca

![](_page_26_Picture_7.jpeg)

Osmon DR, Berbari EF, Berendt AR, Lew D, Zimmerli W, Steckelberg JM, et al. Diagnosis and management of prosthetic joint infection: clinical practice guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis. 2013;56:1–25. Is there a place for one-stage arthroplasty?

INDICATION:

when effective antibiotics are available but not in patients with systemic manifestations of infection (sepsis).

## **RELATIVE CONTRAINDICATIONS:**

- lack of identification of an organism preoperatively
- the presence of a sinus tract
- severe soft tissue involvement that may lead to the need for flap coverage

![](_page_27_Picture_8.jpeg)

## Thank you for attention!

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_4.jpeg)